arXiv:hep-th/9512192v1 23 Dec 1995

POLFIS-TH/95-12

R-Symmetry, twisted $N = 2$ Theory and the Role of the Dilaton

Riccardo D'Auria

Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino
Corso Duca Degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Torino, Italy

Abstract

We discuss R-symmetry in locally supersymmetric $N = 2$ gauge theories coupled to hypermultiplets, which can be viewed as effective theories of heterotic string models. In this type of supergravities a suitable R-symmetry exists and can be used to topologically twist the theory. The vector multiplet of the dilaton-axion field has a different R-charge assignment with respect to the other vector multiplets.

In his first paper on topological field theories [1], Witten had shown how to derive a topological reinterpretation of N=2 Yang–Mills theory in four–dimensions by redefining the Euclidean Lorentz group:

$$SO(4)_{spin} = SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \tag{1}$$

in the following way:

$$SO(4)'_{spin} = SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_R \; ; \qquad SU(2)'_R = \mathrm{diag}\,(SU(2)_I \otimes SU(2)_R) \; . \tag{2}$$

Here $SU(2)_I$ is a factor of the automorphism group $SU_I(2) \times U_I(1)$ of the N=2 supersymmetry algebra. Moreover the second factor $U_I(1)$, usually called R-symmetry group, enters in a suitable redefinition of the $U_g(1)$ ghost number group:

$$U_g(1) \to U'_g(1) = \mathrm{diag}\,(U_g(1) \times U_I(1)) \tag{3}$$

According to this prescription the quantum numbers of a generic field change as follows

$${}^{r}(L, R, I)^{g} \to (L, R \times I)^{g+r} \; , \tag{4}$$

where $L, R, I, R \times I$ label the representations of the original three $SU(2)$ defined in equations (1), (2), and r, g are the charges of the $U_I(1)$ and $U_g(1)$ groups respectively.

In particular, for physical fields ($g = 0$), the R-symmetry charge r coincides with the ghost number of the topologically twisted theory. This procedure is generally referred to as topological twisting. This twisting procedure applied to the rigid N=2 supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory yields topological Yang–Mills theory, where the fields of the N=2 supermultiplet have the following reinterpretation:

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
\text{gauge boson } A^{\alpha}_{\mu} & \longrightarrow & \text{phys. field } & q_{gh} = 0 \\
\text{left–handed gaugino } \lambda^{\alpha A} & \longrightarrow & \text{top. ghost } & q_{gh} = 1 \\
\text{right–handed gaugino } \lambda^{A}_{\alpha^\star} & \longrightarrow & \text{top. antighost } & q_{gh} = -1 \\
\text{scalar } Y^{I} & \longrightarrow & \text{ghost for ghosts } & q_{gh} = 2 \\
\text{conjug. scalar } \bar{Y}^{I^\star} & \longrightarrow & \text{antighost for antighosts } & q_{gh} = -2
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

Actually, this is the result one obtains starting from the so called minimal coupling of the $N = 2$ rigid theory, that is when the prepotential $F(Y)$ is quadratic in the scalar fields Y^I.

In order to extend Witten's ideas to the case of an arbitrary N=2 theory including gravity and hypermultiplets, four steps, that were clarified in refs. [2, 3], are needed:

i) Systematic use of the BRST quantization, prior to the twist.

ii) Identification of a gravitationally extended R-symmetry that can be utilized to redefine the ghost–number in the topological twist.

iii) In presence of hypermultiplets, a further modification of rule (2) for the redefinition of the Lorentz group that becomes:

$$SO(4)'_{spin} = SU(2)'_L \otimes SU(2)'_R \qquad \begin{cases} SU(2)'_R = \text{diag}\,(SU(2)_I \otimes SU(2)_R) \\ SU(2)'_L = \text{diag}\,(SU(2)_Q \otimes SU(2)_L) \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Here $SU(2)_Q$ is a group whose action vanishes on all fields except on those of the hypermultiplet sector, so that its role was not perceived in Witten's original case.

iv) Redefinition of the supersymmetry ghost field (*topological shift*).

Points i) and iv) of the above list do not impose any restriction on the scalar manifold geometry, so we do not discuss them further.

It is clear that, when N=2 supersymmetry is made local, R-symmetry should extend to a suitable symmetry of matter coupled supergravity. Indeed, the possibility of topological twisting in the gravitational case requires a well defined R-symmetry charge for the parent $N = 2$ local theory in order that the new ghost numbers $g' = g + r$ be well defined for all the fields. Thus, the problem of the identification of the R-symmetry in $N = 2$ local theory and the possibility of defining a suitable twisting for topological gravity amount to the same thing. It turns out [4] that in order to have a consistent definition of R-symmetry in the local case, an essential ingredient is the presence of an axion-dilaton vector multiplet (v.m.) in the matter coupled theory which behaves in the reversed manner as far as the R-symmetry charge (and hence ghost number) assignments are concerned, namely:

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
\text{gauge boson } A^{s}_{\mu} & \longrightarrow & \text{ghost. for ghost } & q_{gh} = 2 \\
\text{left–handed gaugino } \lambda^{sA} & \longrightarrow & \text{top. antighost } & q_{gh} = -1
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcll}\text{right–handed gaugino } \lambda^A_{s^\star} & \longrightarrow & \text{top. ghost} & q_{gh} = 1 \\ \text{scalar } S & \longrightarrow & \text{phys. field} & q_{gh} = 0 \\ \text{conjug. scalar } \bar{S} & \longrightarrow & \text{phys. field} & q_{gh} = 0. \end{array} \tag{7}$$

We note now that an axion-dilaton v.m. behaving in a different way with respect to R-symmetry transformations is what naturally shows up in the low energy limit of the heterotic string compactified on six dimensional manifolds, like $T_2 \times K3$[5, 6, 7]. In this context, at the classical level the following vector multiplet and hypermultiplet scalar manifold $ST(n)$ and $HQ(m)$ respectively are given by

$$ST(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \otimes \frac{SO(2,n)}{SO(2)\otimes SO(n)} \quad , \quad HQ(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{SO(4,m)}{SO(4)\otimes SO(m)} \; . \tag{8}$$

Here n, m are the numbers of vector multiplets and hypermultiplets respectively and $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ is parametrized by the dilaton complex field S. We will see below that the R-symmetry assignments of eq. (7) can be easily computed in this case. Furthermore, the very presence of the axion-dilaton v.m. in the $N = 2$ local theory solves an apparent difficulty which was noted in ref. [2, 3] in a different approach to the problem of the R-charges assignments for the gravitationally coupled $N = 2$ theory. There, it appeared difficult to saturate the sum rule

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (gh)^i = 3\tau \tag{9}$$

relating the ghost numbers $(gh)^i$ of the various fields, typically an even number, to the dimensionality 3τ of the moduli space of a typical gravitational instanton, like an ALE manifold (here τ is the Hirzebruch signature). However, the presence of the axion-dilaton v.m. allows the complexification of the moduli space for the Kähler structure deformations enlarging the dimensionality of the moduli space to 4τ, which, being an even number, solves the aforementioned problem.

Coming back to our main problem, we recall that while in the classical case the R-symmetry is a $U(1)$ group, it breaks down to $\mathbb{Z}_p \in U(1)$ when non-perturbative quantum corrections are turned on.

Furthermore it happens that in the classical $ST(n)$ case the continuous R–symmetry is an off–shell symmetry of the action while in the quantum $\hat{ST}(n)$ case the discrete R–symmetry acts in general as an electric–magnetic duality rotation of the type of S–duality. As stated before, the R-symmetry of rigid N=2 gauge theories should have a natural extension to the gravitationally coupled case. We need some guidelines to relate the R-symmetry of a rigid theory to the R-symmetry of a corresponding locally supersymmetric theory. The main points to have in mind are the following ones:

- The R-symmetry group G_R, whether continuous or discrete, must act on the symplectic sections (X^Λ, F_Λ) $(\Lambda = 0, \ldots, n)$ by means of symplectic matrices:

$$\forall\, g \in G_R \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) \\ C(g) & D(g) \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_R \subset SP(4+2n, \mathbb{R}). \tag{10}$$

- The fields of the theory must have under G_R well defined charges, so that G_R is either a $U_R(1)$ group if continuous or a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ if discrete.

- By definition the left–handed and right–handed gravitinos must have R–charges $q = \pm 1$, respectively

- Under the G_R action there must be, in the special manifold, a preferred direction corresponding to the dilaton–axion multiplet whose R–charges are reversed with respect to those of all the other multiplets. As emphasized, this is necessary, in order for the topological twist to leave the axion–dilaton field physical in the topological theory, contrary to the other scalar partners of the vectors that become ghosts for the ghosts

As we will discuss below, for the classical coset manifolds $ST(n)$ the appropriate R-symmetry is continuous and it is easily singled out: it is the $SO(2) \sim U(1)$ subgroup of the isotropy group $SO(2)\times SO(n) \subset SO(2,n)$. The coordinates that diagonalize the R–charges are precisely the Calabi–Visentini coordinates of the classical theory[4]. In the flat limit they can be identified with the special coordinates of rigid special geometry. Hence such gravitational R-symmetry is, as required, the supergravity counterpart of the R-symmetry considered in the rigid theories. Due to the direct product structure of this classical manifold the preferred direction corresponding to the dilaton–axion field is explicitly singled out in the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ factor .

Generically, in the quantum case, the R-symmetry group G_R is discrete. Its action on the quantum counterpart of the Calabi–Visentini coordinates $\hat{Y}^\alpha$ must approach the action of a discrete subgroup of the classical $U(1)_R$ in the same asymptotic region where the local geometry of the quantum manifold $\hat{ST}(n)$ approaches that of $ST(n)$. This is the large radius limit if we think of $\hat{ST}(n)$ as of the moduli–space of some dynamical Calabi–Yau threefold. To this effect recall that special Kähler geometry is the moduli–space geometry of Calabi–Yau threefolds and we can generically assume that any special manifold $\mathcal{SM}$ corresponds to some suitable threefold. Although the G_R group is, in this sense, a subgroup of the classical $U_R(1)$ group, yet we should not expect that it is realized by a subgroup of the symplectic matrices that realize $U(1)_R$ in the classical case. The different structure of the symplectic R–matrices is precisely what allows a dramatically different form of the special metric in the quantum and classical case. The need for this difference can be perceived *a priori* from the request that the quantum R-symmetry matrix should be symplectic integer valued. As we are going to see this is possible only for $\mathbb{Z}_4$ subgroups of $U(1)_R$ in the original symplectic embedding. Hence the different $\mathbb{Z}_p$ R–symmetries appearing in rigid quantum theories should have different symplectic embeddings in the gravitational case.

Let us now give the general properties of the gravitationally extended R-symmetry. R-symmetry is either a $U(1)$ symmetry or a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_p$ symmetry. Thus, if R-symmetry acts diagonally with charge q_R on a field ϕ, this means that $\phi \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{q_R \mathrm{i}\vartheta}\phi$, $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi]$ in the continuous case. In the discrete case only the values $\vartheta = \frac{2\pi}{p} l$, $l = 0, 1 \ldots p-1$ are allowed and in particular the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ group acts as $\phi \longrightarrow R\phi = \mathrm{e}^{q_R \frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{p}}\phi$.

By definition R-symmetry acts diagonally with charge $+1$ (-1) on the left-(right)-handed gravitinos (in the same way as it acts on the supersymmetry parameters in the rigid case):

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \psi_A \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta}\psi_A & \quad \text{i.e.} \quad & q_L(\psi_A) = 1 \\ \psi^A \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\vartheta}\psi^A & & q_R(\psi^A) = -1. \end{array} \tag{11}$$

R-symmetry generates isometries $z^i \longrightarrow (R_{2\vartheta} z)^i$ of the scalar metric g_{ij^*} and it is embedded into $Sp(2n+4, \mathbb{R})$ by means of a symplectic matrix:

$$M_{2\vartheta} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{2\vartheta} & b_{2\vartheta} \\ c_{2\vartheta} & d_{2\vartheta} \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2n+4, \mathbb{R}). \tag{12}$$

As we have already pointed out it turns out that in the classical case of $ST(n)$ manifolds R–symmetry does not mix the Bianchi identities with the field equations since the matrix (10) happens to be block diagonal: $b_{2\theta} = c_{2\theta}$. In the quantum case, instead, this is in general not true. There is a symplectic action on the section (X^Λ, F_Λ) induced by $z^i \to (R_{2\vartheta} z)^i$:

$$(X, F) \to f_{2\vartheta}(z^i) M_{2\vartheta} \cdot (X, F) \tag{13}$$

where the Kähler compensating factor $f_{2\vartheta}(z^i)$ depends in general both on the transformation parameter ϑ and on the base–point z. By definition this compensating factor is the same that appears in the transformation of the gravitino field $\psi_A \to \exp[f_{2\vartheta}(z^i)/2]\, \psi_A$. Since we have imposed that the transformation of the gravitino field should be as in (11) it follows that the R-symmetry transformation must be such as to satisfy eq.(13) with a suitable matrix (12) and with a compensating Kähler factor of the following specific form:

$$f_{2\vartheta}(z^i) = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\vartheta}. \tag{14}$$

Condition (14) is a crucial constraint on the form of R-symmetry.
Given these inputs, it turns out that the susy transformation laws of the $N = 2$ local theory[8] fix in a unique way the R-symmetry assignments for all the fields, and in particular give the charge assignment of eq. (7) of the axion-dilaton multiplet.

In the case of the microscopic classical $N = 2$ lagrangian, the special Kähler manifold of the v.m. scalars is a $ST(n)$ manifold. Consider the following parametrization of the $N = 2$ symplectic sections [9]

$$(X^\Lambda, F_\Lambda) = (X^\Lambda, S\eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} X^\Sigma) \quad , \quad X^\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2\,(1 + Y^2) \\ \mathrm{i}/2\,(1 - Y^2) \\ Y^\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

In eq. (15) Y^α are the Calabi–Visentini coordinates, parametrizing the coset manifold $SO(2, n)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$, while S parametrizes $SU(1, 1)/U(1)$. The pseudoorthogonal metric $\eta_{\Lambda\Sigma}$ has the signature $(+, +, -, \ldots, -)$. In this parametrization the action of the isometry group $SU(1, 1) \times SO(2, n)$ is linearly realized, and the action of R-symmetry is extremely simple. One finds

$$S \to S \quad , \quad Y^\alpha \to \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\vartheta} Y^\alpha \tag{16}$$

according to eq. (7).

Utilizing the explicit form eq. (15) of the symplectic section, eq. (16) induces the transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ F \end{pmatrix} &\to \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\vartheta} \begin{pmatrix} m_{2\vartheta} & 0 \\ 0 & (m^T_{2\vartheta})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ F \end{pmatrix} \\ m_{2\vartheta} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\vartheta & -\sin 2\vartheta & 0 \\ \sin 2\vartheta & \cos 2\vartheta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\!\!1_{n\times n} \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2, n). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We see that the crucial condition (14) is met. Furthermore note that in this classical case $b_{2\vartheta} = c_{2\vartheta} = 0$, the matrix (12) is completely diagonal and it has the required eigenvalues $(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

At this point we need no more checks; the R-symmetry defined by eq. (16) is a true symmetry of the lagrangian and satisfies all the expected properties. The gauge fields A^α do not transform, while the A^0, A^S gauge fields undergo an $SO(2)$ rotation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^0 \\ A^S \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\vartheta & -\sin 2\vartheta \\ sin 2\vartheta & \cos 2\vartheta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^0 \\ A^S \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad A^\alpha \to A^\alpha\ . \tag{18}$$

Notice that from eq.s (17), (18) and from the explicit form of the embedding[4], we easily check that the R-symmetry for the $ST(n)$ case is nothing else but the $SO(2) \sim U(1)$ subgroup of the isometries appearing in the denominator of the coset $SO(2,n)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$.

At the quantum level the R–symmetries should act on the symplectic sections as a symplectic matrix belonging to $Sp(2n+4, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider then the intersection of the continuous R symmetry of eq.s (16,17) with $Sp(2n+4, \mathbb{Z})$: the result is a $\mathbb{Z}_4$ R-symmetry generated by the matrix $M_{2\vartheta}$ with $\vartheta = \pi/4$, where:

$$m_{\pi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{n\times n} \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2,n;\mathbb{Z}). \tag{19}$$

As already observed, in a generic case, after the quantum corrections are implemented, the discrete R-symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a subgroup of $U(1)_R$ as far as the action on the moduli at large values is concerned, but it is implemented by $Sp(4+2n, \mathbb{Z})$ matrices that are not the restriction to discrete value of theta of the matrix $M_{2\vartheta}$ defined in eq.s (17). In the one modulus case where, according to the analysis by Seiberg–Witten the rigid R-symmetry is $\mathbb{Z}_4$, there is the possibility of maintaining the classical form of the matrix $M_{2\vartheta}$ also at the quantum level and in the case of local supersymmetry. This seems to be a peculiarity of the one–modulus N=2 gauge theory.

In the classical case of the $ST(n)$ manifolds the existence of a preferred direction is obvious from the definition of the manifolds and R–symmetry singles it out in the way discussed. Let us see how the dilaton–axion direction can be singled out by the discrete R–symmetry of the quantum manifolds $\hat{ST}(n)$. Let $G_R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and let $\alpha = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}/p}$ be a p–th root of the unity. In the space of the scalar fields z^i there always will be a coordinate basis $\{u^i\}$ $(i = 1, \ldots n+1)$ that diagonalizes the action of $R_{2\vartheta}$ so that:

$$R_{2\vartheta}\, u^i \;=\; \alpha^{q_i}\, u^i \qquad q_i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1 \bmod p \tag{20}$$

The $n+1$ integers q_i (defined modulo p) are the R–symmetry charges of the scalar fields u_i. At the same time a generic $Sp(4+2n, \mathbb{R})$ matrix has eigenvalues:

$$\left(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}, \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) \tag{21}$$

The R–symmetry symplectic matrix $M_{2\vartheta}$ of eq. (12), being the generator of a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_p$, has eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_0 = \alpha^{k_0}, \quad \lambda_1 = \alpha^{k_1}, \quad \ldots, \; \lambda_{n+1} = \alpha^{k_{n+1}}\ , \tag{22}$$

where $(k_0, k_1, \ldots k_{n+1})$ is a new set of $n+2$ integers defined modulo p. These numbers are the R–symmetry charges of the electric–magnetic field strenghts

$$F^0_{\mu\nu} + \mathrm{i}\, G^0_{\mu\nu}, \quad F^1_{\mu\nu} + \mathrm{i}\, G^1_{\mu\nu}, \quad \ldots \quad F^{n+1}_{\mu\nu} + \mathrm{i}\, G^{n+1}_{\mu\nu}\ , \tag{23}$$

their negatives, as follows eq. (21), being the charges of the complex conjugate combinations $F_{\mu\nu} - \mathrm{i}G_{\mu\nu}$. Since what is really relevant in the topological twist are the differences of ghost numbers (not their absolute values), the interpretation of the scalars u^i $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ as ghost for ghosts and of the corresponding vector fields as physical gauge fields requires that

$$q_i \;=\; k_i \,+\, 2 \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, if the vector partner of the axion–dilaton field has to be a ghost for ghosts, the S–field itself being physical, we must have:

$$k_{n+1} = q_{n+1} + 2 \tag{25}$$

In eq. (25) we have conventionally identified

$$S = u^{n+1} \tag{26}$$

Finally the R–symmetry charge k_0 of the last vector field–strength $F^0_{\mu\nu}$ is determined by the transformation law of the graviphoton combination [4].

In [10] an explicit example is provided of quantum R–symmetry based on the local N=2 $SU(2)$ gauge theory associated with the Calabi–Yau manifold $WCP_4(8;2,2,2,1,1)$ of Hodge numbers ($h_{11} = 2, h_{21} = 86$) that has been considered in [11] as an example of heterotic/type II duality.

As a final remark, we note that once the R-symmetry charges in the $N = 2$ local theory have been fixed, we may twist to the topological gravity theory coupled to the axion-dilaton field the gauge fields and hypermultiplet scalars. As it is well known, in the topological theory we have a set of instanton conditions which fix the topological symmetry. The form of these instanton conditions is universal and applies both to the classical and quantum case. Specifically it turns out that there are four equations describing the coupling of four types of instantons:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \text{i)} & \text{gravitational instanton} \\ \text{ii)} & \text{gauge–instantons} \\ \text{iii)} & \text{triholomorphic hyperinstantons} \\ \text{iv)} & \text{H-monopoles.} \end{array} \tag{27}$$

Instanton equations of this type have already been discussed in [2, 3, 12, 14]; the main difference is that in [3, 12] the instanton conditions were only the first three of eq.s (27). The H-monopoles [8–12], namely the instanton-like configurations

$$\partial_a D \;=\; \epsilon_{abcd} \mathrm{e}^D H^{bcd} \tag{28}$$

in the dilaton-axion sector were missing. In eq. (28) D is the dilaton field and $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is the curl of the antisymmetric axion tensor $B_{\mu\nu} : \partial_{[\rho} B_{\mu\nu]} = H_{\mu\nu\rho}$. The reason why they were missing in [3, 12] is the type of symmetry used there to define the ghost number, namely an on-shell R-duality based on the properties of the so-called minimal coupling. The new type of gravitationally extended R-symmetry that we present here is typically stringy in its origin and for the classical moduli–spaces is an ordinary off-shell symmetry, which does not mix electric and magnetic states as the R-duality of the minimal case does. In the quantum–corrected effective lagrangians R–symmetry reduces once again to an R–duality, namely to a discrete group of electric–magnetic duality rotations; yet the preferred direction of the dilaton–axion field is mantained also in the quantum case as it is necessary on physical grounds. The new version of R–symmetry discussed here provides the solution to several conceptual problems at the same time.

More details on the topics discussed in this contribution can be found in [4] and references therein.

References

[1] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 353.

[2] D. Anselmi and P. Fré, Nucl. Phys. B392 (1993) 401.

[3] D. Anselmi and P. Fré, Nucl. Phys. B404 (1993) 288; Nucl. Phys. B416 (1994) 255.

[4] M. Billò, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Frè, P. Soriani and A. Van Proeyen, "R-Symmetry and the Topological Twist of $N = 2$ Effective Supergravities of Heterotic Strings", preprint CERN-Th 95/111, hep-th/9505123.

[5] N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B303 (1988) 286.

[6] S. Ferrara and M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. B216 (1989) 289.

[7] S. Ferrara and C. Kounnas, Nucl. Phys. B328 (1989) 406.

[8] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fré, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 705.

[9] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B444 (1995) 92, hep-th/9502072.

[10] A. Ceresole, M. Billò, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Frè, T. Regge, P. Soriani, A. Van Proeyen, hep-th/9506075.

[11] S. Kachru and C. Vafa, hep-th/9505105.

[12] D. Anselmi and P. Fré, Phys. Lett. B347 (1995) 247.

[13] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 19.

[14] E. Witten, Math. Research Lett. 1 (1994) 769.